FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE.

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 533 OF 1992 .

The State of Maharashtra. ..Applicant.

vs.

Niranbhai Dhajibhai Tandel & ors. ..Respondents.

Office Notes,Office Memoranda of Coram, appearnaces,Courts's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	:	Court's or Judge's orders

---

Shri D.P.Adsule,A.P.P. for the State.
None for the respondents.

CORAM: V.M.KANADE,J.
DATED: 22-2-2005.

P.C.:

1. None appears for the Customs. Learned A.P.P. for the State has taken me through the judgment and order of the trial Court. The case of the Customs is that they had received an information that certain contraband goods were being transported through a vessel. The vessel was seized. However, contraband was not found therein. Statements of the crew of the said vessel were recorded under section 108 of the Customs Act. Learned A.P.P. for the state has taken me through the judgment and order of the trial Court as also the evidence adduced by the prosecution. Even from the statements recorded under section 108 of the Customs Act, no material has come on record to show that crew of the vessel had no

knowledge about the contraband. The trial Court, in my view, has rightly discarded the said statements. I see no reason to interfere with the finding recorded by the trial Court. Appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

--